

Message

From: Kay, Robert [rtkay@usgs.gov]
Sent: 3/22/2013 4:14:44 PM
To: Nordine, John [nordine.john@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: Groundwater Potentiometric Map Downgradient from Central Wire

John--

1. for what it's worth, i'm OK with foregoing future continuous water-level monitoring at the DGW2 well.
2. also, this map looks OK to me but it needs a couple things--
 notation of date of measurement
 data from the DGW1 wells--it should be fairly easy to survey the altitudes of the wells
 ideally, data from ALL the CW wells in the area. this would include the extraction wells (may or may not be feasible to do this but we should explore the possibility) and other nearby wells. the figures i have indicate that CW measured all the wells they have (except for the extraction wells) but i seem to recall there were other monitoring wells in the area near the extraction wells, or maybe further to the south of the extraction wells. am i wrong?
3. are we still on for getting the new \$ in april?

call if you have questions.

On Fri, Mar 22, 2013 at 9:02 AM, Jack Thorsen <jack@autumnwoodesh.com> wrote:

John:

Three things:

Attached is the groundwater potentiometric map without specifics at DGW-1 because the surveying information from its installation is not available.

In light of your most recent comment letter, Central Wire and Autumnwood Consultants would like to discuss this with you in a conference call to come to some final understanding of what you need. Please let me know two or three good times for you to do discuss your comment letter.

Central Wire has now been "banned" on using the Techalloy moniker. How do we change all of the EPA documents and records to reflect the entity as Central Wire?

A fourth item of information. [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] has decided to abandon its [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] activities. Their office trailer is no longer there and The Manager has been moved down to Kankakee. That means the irrigation well on [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] will no longer be used, at least not in the foreseeable future. The [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] well will still be used for their [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] operation, but that well is about 3,250 ft (per Google Earth) from the leading edge of the plume so I do not believe there is any way that this irrigation well that occasionally pumps about 250 gallons a minute will influence a plume that far away.

Please let me know your availability and we will schedule a call.

Regards,

Jack

John W. Thorsen, P.E.

Autumnwood ESH Consultants

262.237.1130